IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR.

W.P. No. 2604 /2004

PETITIONER : Lakhan Ram s/o Shri Baula Ram, age 46 years, Assistant Veterinary Field Officer, (A.V.O.) Out line Dispensary Ramchandrapur, District Surguja, C.G.

P.R. No. 2613/04
Presented by Shri Rajesh Tiwari
Dated 16-8-04

V E R S U S

RESPONDENTS : 1. The State of Chhattisgarh, through the Secretary, Veterinary Department, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur, C.G.

2. Director, Veterinary Services, Chhattisgarh, Raipur, District Raipur, C.G.

3. Joint Director, Veterinary Services, Bilaspur, District Bilaspur, C.G.

4. Assistant Director, Veterinary Services, Ambikapur, District Surguja, C.G.

8/8/2004

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

(11)

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक WP 2697/04 **सन्** **200**

..... **विरुद्ध** .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	7.4.2005 Shri A.K. Prasad, counsel for the petitioner. Shri U.N.S.Deo, Govt. Advocate for the State. The petitioner has preferred this writ petition against the arbitrary and discriminatory acts of the respondents. The case of the petitioner is that he was appointed as Lab Assistant in the Veterinary Department by order dated 12.10.1979 in the pay scale of Rs.169 to 300/-. Thereafter, in the year 1995 on being selected for the post of Assistant Veterinary Field Officer he joined on the said post. Later on, the name of that post was changed as Veterinary Lab Technicians by the then Sate Government and a higher pay scale was decided to given to them i.e. Rs.1,200/- to 2,040/-, but the said benefit was not extended to many of similarly situated persons like the petitioner, therefore, they had preferred an original application before the State Administrative Tribunal, Bench at Indore which was registered as O.A. No.149/90. The tribunal vide order dated 22.12.94 allowed the O.A. and accordingly, similarly placed Lab Assistants were awarded new name of Lab Technicians and they were given benefit of higher pay scale. Thereafter other similarly placed persons also filed application before the SAT and similar benefit was extended to them also. However, the petitioner had not filed any OA, therefore, the respondent are not considering the case of the petitioner for giving benefit of pay scale which has been given to similarly places persons. Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner may be allowed to make a representation to respondent No.2 & 3 for giving the benefit of pay scale which has been given to the other similarly placed	

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

(42)

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित 2	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

persons and they be directed to decide the representation of the petitioner in light of the order passed by the SAT and benefit given to the other similarly placed persons in pursuant to the order of SAT, to which learned counsel for the respondent has no objection.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, the petitioner is allowed to make a representation within a period of four weeks from today to respondent No.2 & 3 raising all his grievances along with copy of this petition and in turn respondent No.2 & 3 are directed to decide the representation of the petitioner in accordance with law within a period of three months from the date of receipt of the representation after considering the fact that if the petitioner is similarly placed to the persons to whom the benefit of higher pay scale has been extended then his case may also be considered.

With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of.

Consequently, M.(W.)P. No.2312/04 & I.A. no.5808/04 also stand disposed of.

Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-
L.C. Bhadoo
Judge

(पीछे देखिये)

12/4/05